the same way. Determination of the remuneration and conditions of service of the Central and State Government employees on an identical basis is not feasible, unless it is decided to have a unitary form of Government in the whole country. It seems to us that in the face of context of constitutional structure, the issue of disparities is a highly complexed one entangled with great delicacies. It is not the one that could be solved in a simple way".

* * *

Procedure adopted for leasing out Government Buildings to private parties.

Q.—49. Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDAIAH (Siruguppa).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether there is an old Records building in Koppal in Raichur District;

(*b*) if so, in whose custody it is with now;

(*c*) whether the Municipality of Koppal has requested the Government to give the said building for running a school;

(*d*) why was it not given to the Municipality;

(*e*) whether any portion of the building was given to a private party and if so on what condition and authority;

(*f*) the procedure adopted while leasing out the building and why private party was preferredas against a public local body?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) A portion of the building is in custody of the Education Department and another portion is with one Sri N. Kotarappa, Proprietor, Arvind Press, Koppal.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*c*) above.

(*e*) Yes. Under the orders of the Executive Engineer, Raichur

(*f*) This is under investigation.

Construction of a Bridge across Hirehalla between Ron and Hole Alur.

Q.—51. Sri A. J. DODDAMETI (Ron).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they are aware that the work regarding the construction of a bridge across Hirehalla between Ron and Hole Alur, Ron Taluka, Dharwar District, has been sanctioned and taken up;

(*b*) if so, the date on which the contract was given for the above work;

(*c*) the date on which the contractor commenced the construction of the bridge and the stage at which the work is now pending and the particulars of its progress;

(*d*) whether they are aware that there is inordinate delay in the construction of the bridge;

(*e*) if so, the steps taken by them to avoid such delay;

(*f*) whether difference of opinion between the P.W.D. and the contractor is one of the reasons for the inordinate delay;

(*g*) if so, the particulars thereof;

(*h*) the steps taken by them to remove such differences?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) 25th February 1959.

(*c*) The contractor commenced the work on 10-12-1959. Three piers are constructed upto bed level and one abutment with returns constructed upto road level and further work is at standstill now.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) The contractor has been several times served with notices to expedite the work. The last one issued is on 8-1-1962. Inspite of this, the contractor has not re-started the work as yet. Further steps are being taken.